VSP Public Comment

From: ten10ercorp@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Saturday, June 25, 2005 6:11 AM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs
Cc: McDannold, Bruce
Subject: Standards for AVVPAT

Should Calfornia reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

After the last two rigged,fraudulent,illegal,stolen national elections, that was a wake up
call for those of us who would like to have a honest,legal,trustworthy, accountable
election and with a recoed of each voters votes that is accurate,fair, representative of
what elections in this once great country "USED" to be about!!! No to DIEBOLD and their
partisanship,relationship with corrupt, dishonest, officials who have helped to put this
current adminisatration and its devastating "REIGN OF ERROR" on the verge of destroying
this country with its irresponsible,unaccountable,perjurious,fatal,policies of the last 4
plus years, including what I think is clear evidence of their "COMPLICITY" in perpetrating
9-11 upon us for the sake of war, oil,power,selfishness,greed!!! No to DIEBOLT!!!!!

Mr. FranklinPaul Tenner
171 N. First Street
El Cajon, CA 92021

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one
record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election
officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and
hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or
electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any
particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be
counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.